Roads CIP Performance Audit

King County Auditor's Office

Valerie Whitener, Principal Management Auditor

Rob McGowan, Senior Management Auditor

September 14, 2004

Summary of Findings and Recommendations

Many activities consistent with best practices

RSD needs to:

- Improve the consistency of analysis of potential projects
- Strengthen accountability of decisionmaking and program performance



- Best Practices include:
 - □ A policy framework guides CIP development
 - Program and project prioritization clearly documented



- RSD's policy framework guides CIP development
- Most CIP project categories have prioritization procedures, but some procedures are not published or nonexistent



Planning and Prioritization - Recommendations

- Complete development of program-wide performance measures and targets
- Document prioritization processes



- Best practices include:
 - A systematic approach for economic costbenefit evaluation
 - Project scope, schedule, budget and technical performance goals are monitored
 - Good information is provided to decisionmakers and the public



- Project manager leads a multidisciplinary project team
- Project objectives determined
- Traffic/safety information analyzed
- Surrounding jurisdictions and other stakeholders included



- Inconsistent and incomplete methods and assumptions used in economic costbenefit analysis
- Absence of economic analysis guidelines



Project Performance Monitoring and Reporting

- RSD improving access to information
 - Extensive project information collected and maintained
 - Access available via Internet
- Absence of systematic analysis and reporting of project performance to decision makers and taxpayers

Capital Project Management and Analysis - Recommendations

- Develop guidelines for economic analysis:
 - ☐ Assumptions for key cost variables
 - Include operations and maintenance costs for major road projects
 - Weighting of project variables consistent and communicated
- Develop an approach to systematically report individual project and program-wide scope, schedule, budget information

III. Preserving Taxpayers' Investment in Roads

- Best practices include:
 - Minimizing lifecycle costs with a pavement preservation program
 - Analyzing the impact of different levels of investment in preservation
 - Documenting pavement preservation processes

Preserving Taxpayers' Investment in Roads - Findings

- RSD currently
 - □ has a pavement preservation program
 - does not analyze or present the impact of varying levels of investment in preservation
 - has incomplete RSD's program documentation

Preserving Taxpayers' Investment in Roads - Recommendations

- Analyze the impact of varying funding levels and deferred maintenance
- Complete documentation of pavement preservation project prioritization